

United States Patent and Trademark Office



,	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.]
	09/627,801	07/28/2000	Roy V. Leverenz	TAM-1629DIV2	3910	_
	7.	590 04/10/2002				֓֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜
	Patrick J Vice			EXAM	INER	
Allegheny Technologies Incorporated 1000 Six PPG Place			TURNER, A	RCHENE A]]	
	Pittsburgh, PA	15222		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	֓֜֝֜֜֜֜֜֝֜֜֜֝֜֜֜֝֜֜֜֜֝֜֜֜֜֜֝֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜֜
				1775	9	
·				DATE MAILED: 04/10/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	09/627,801	LEVERENZ ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Archene Turner	1775				
- Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appe or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 c	<u>lanuary 2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 50-58 is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>50-58</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8) 🗌	Claims are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.						
11)							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Application	on No				
* 6	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dome	esuc phonty under 35 U.S.C. § 11	∌(<i>Ե)</i> .				
Attachmen	• •	_					
16) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	19) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/627,801

Art Unit: 1775

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 50-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Olson (5,891,522) or Lee et al (5,700,518).

The rejection is maintained for reasons of record in Paper No. 4.

3. Claims 50-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bennett et al (5,560,839) or Feisrtritzer et al (5,415,674) or Svensson (5,380,408) or Peters et al (5,567,526 or 5,236,740).

The rejection is maintained for reasons of record in Paper No. 4.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 6-13-2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the process by which the product is made is different rendering the product different. The applicant is reminded that an invention defined in a product by process claim is a product, not a process (*In re Brideford*, 357 F. 2d 679, 149 USPQ (CCPA 1966)). When the prior art discloses a product which reasonably appears to be either identical with or only slightly different than a product in

Application/Control Number: 09/627,801

Art Unit: 1775

a product by process claim, the burden is on the applicant to present evidence from which the examiner could reasonably conclude that the product differs in kind from those of the prior art (*In re Brown*, 459 F. 2d 531, 173 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1972); *In re Fessman*, 489 F. 2d 742, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA 1974)). This burden is NOT discharged solely because the product was derived from a process known to the prior art (*In re Fessman*, 489 F. 2d 742, 180 USPQ 324 (CCPA 1974)). The applicant has failed to provide factual evidence that the product claimed is different than that disclosed by the prior art and thus the rejection stands.

The applicant also argues that the reason why the product is made a particular way is different from the prior art. The applicant is reminded that it is elementary that the mere recitation of a newly discovered function or property, inherently possessed by things in the prior art, does not cause a claim drawn to distinguish over the prior art. Additionally, where the Patent Office has reason to believe that the functional limitation asserted to be critical for establish novelty in the claimed subject matter may, in fact, be an inherent characteristic of the prior art, it possesses the authority to require the applicant to prove that the subject matter shown to be in the prior art does not possess the characteristic relied on. (*In re Swinehart*, 169 USPQ 226 (CCPA 1971)).

5. Any inquiry regarding this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Archene Turner, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4344. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Art Unit: 1775

A facsimile center has been established in Group 1700, Crystal Plaza 2, 8th floor, reception area. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 305-3599 (for official after final faxes) or (703) 305-5408 (for all other official faxes). This location should be used in all instances when faxing any correspondence to Art Unit 1774. Use of the Group 1700 center will facilitate rapid delivery of materials to Examiners in Art Unit 1775.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Á. A. Turner Primary Examiner Group 1700

aat